

Effectiveness of Content- Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) toward Students' English Competence

by I Made Rai Jaya Widanta

Submission date: 13-Mar-2023 10:44AM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 2035788033

File name: 12._Effectiveness_of_Content-Language_Integrated.docx (62.97K)

Word count: 3125

Character count: 17682

Effectiveness of Content-Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) toward Students' English Competence

Made Sumartana
Accounting Dept.
Politeknik Negeri Bali
Denpasar, Indonesia
madesumartana@pnb.ac.id

Dana Ardika
Civil Engineering Dept.
Politeknik Negeri Bali
Denpasar, Indonesia
wayandanaardika@pnb.ac.id

Wayan Sadiyahani
Mechanical Engineering Dept.
Politeknik Negeri Bali
Denpasar, Indonesia
niwayansadiyahani@pnb.ac.id

Raka Sitawati
Business Administration Dept.
Politeknik Negeri Bali
Denpasar, Indonesia
agungrakasitawati@pnb.ac.id

Rai Jaya Widanta
Mechanical Engineering Dept.
Politeknik Negeri Bali
Denpasar, Indonesia
maderajayawidanta@pnb.ac.id

Ketut Suciani
Business Administration Dept.
Politeknik Negeri Bali
Denpasar, Indonesia
ketutsuciani@pnb.ac.id

Abstract—This research was aimed at investigating effectiveness of CLIL to improve students' English for Mechanical Engineering (EME) competence. EME is designed for mechanical engineering students who learn English as a supporting subject. Two groups of semester two Mechanical Engineering student who had same level of English ability (according to their English lecturer) were involved as research participants. They were divided into two groups, one experiment group and one control group. Both groups were given a six-session English learning each. The experiment group was given CLIL approach and the control group was given English learning with conventional method and materials. The topic of lesson was 'welding'. Evaluation of learning activity was performance test of presentation. Research data obtained were students' performance of presentation and perception. Result of analysis revealed that students were more competent in presenting their work. Their perception on CLIL was positive.

Keywords—CLIL, english competence, mechanical engineering, performance-based test

I. INTRODUCTION

CLIL, a model of learning language, integrates competence and language. It is a dual-focused learning [1]. It functions to, not only achieve students' competence mastery but also language being taught. According to [2] and [3], CLIL enables students to achieve learning goal by focusing on some aspects, such as content, cognition, communication, as well as cultural aspects. On the basis of those aspects, students are triggered to think holistically (not only language which is taught implicitly but the four aspects). In order to pursue the goal, students are exposed with some activities, such as doing projects, discussing, giving information, making report, presentation and some others. It is suggested that prior instruction, preparation shall be undertaken completely, such as making 'mind mapping', making lesson plan, preparing scaffolding, and other supplement needed.

Some research on CLIL implementation effectiveness have been undertaken by theorists and scholars both in field of English and other areas. Alimin [4] investigated if character education could be integrated in CLIL. Specifically, the investigation in field of religion sociology was intended to recognize how character education was implemented in higher education institution. It was clearly obtained that integrating in CLIL was able to enrich CLIL itself and finally gave response to character education in Indonesia which has been integrated in curriculum. The research also responded to immersion of character education in curriculum which was not affixed with revision and development of learning model. It was suggested that text book weakness could be overcome by introducing them with specific 'reading' and 'writing'.

Research on CLIL implementation in English learning was carried out by [5]. CLIL is designed in purpose to improve learning, exercises, English competence, and to see cultural aspect of one language. Generally, CLIL is designed to improve inter-cultural communication skill, to prepare oneself for internationalization, to provide with learning an object from some different perspective, to improve holistic language competence, to improve verbal communication skill. It is also designed to be a learning model which is able to develop other learning model and practices in class as well as improve student learning motivation. There are two goal shot by CLIL implementation, 'content' and 'language'. In term of 'content', learning a subject using other foreign language is hoped to help students improve their knowledge about what is being learned. However, [5] Dalton-Puffer (2007) found that CLIL students obtained knowledge about content of the subject in the same amount as those who learn the same subject using students' mother language (first language). However, CLIL students could improve their foreign language competence being compared to those learning the same subject with conventional model. Dalton-Puffer [5] only found that students only could partially benefit from CLIL model, i.e. improving their language skill not their knowledge of the content.

Integration between content learning and language learning was designed by [3] in field of technology. The course in technology was intended to guide students to make a project in technological subject and at the same time to reflect how and why such a model relevant. In addition it was in purpose to involve students in communicative activities. All students were invited in problem solving activity on electricity supply for a certain place. Students were assigned to investigate problems electricity supplying to the area, to make report and to present it individually to other groups. The students involved in the project were able to write report, to comment, and to lead tutorial. They were able to explain their activity during the project, to give feed back, references, illustration, and sequences of actions. Effectiveness of CLIL in supporting communication skill in technological area was also observed by [6-9] and found that students involved were able to build communication competence through project which particularly involved multiple aspects and skills.

CLIL was often correlated with 'content-based instruction' (CBI). Banegas [10] investigated features of CBI and CLIL through three aspects of sociocultural, definition of 'language' in CBI and CLIL, as well as definition of 'content' in CBI and CLIL. In relation to aspect of sociocultural, [11], [12], dan [13]. viewed that human thought is mediated through physical devices and symbol like language. One of mediation in language learning is 'scaffold', which can be done through some ways, such as questioning, activating prior knowledge, making context of motivation, triggering students, giving hints, and giving feed back. It is supported by [14], [15] that language learners can quickly learn language when they acquire information through the language they learn. CBI has two goals, they are autonomous learning and adoption of learners' different roles, such as interpreter, explorer, and well as content source supplier. Thus, learning and teaching content and language is a collaborative work between learners and instructors.

Language in CLIL and CBI functions as a media in learning content. It is used as a means of communication and learning [2]. Language is also used as the main item in achieving communicative competence [17]. Coyle, Hood & Marsh [16] claimed that there are three functions of language in CBI and CLIL called Triptych, they are language of learning, language for learning and language through learning. Content is a non language or a scientific discipline. Term of content can be changed by subject area [18] since content refers to a product of teacher – students interaction.

This research was intended for different purpose from those that had been discussed. Alimin [19] investigated effectiveness if CLIL toward character education at university. It found that text book was a boring resource of material, thus it should be enriched by using reading texts and materials supportive to students' writing activities. In addition, CLIL was enable students to obtain knowledge the same as students with first language. CLIL was able to improve their second language (L2) being compared to students with conventional model [5]. Bergman *et al.* [3]

enabled students to achieve learning goal by focusing on some aspects, such as content, cognition, communication, as well as cultural aspects. His research was inspiring people mind and the concept was then adopted and implemented in English learning in Mechanical Engineering class at Politeknik Negeri Bali. On the other hand, Banegas [10] observed three aspects, they were sociocultural, language, and content aspect and claimed that CLIL was effective when it was affixed with use of 'scaffolding' which enabled instructors to empower students to widen their insight to make concepts and use language in communicative practice.

This research intended to proven effectiveness of CLIL using involving four aspects of content, communication, cultural aspects and cognition proposed by Bergman *et al* [3]. Specifically, it was in purpose to proven whether or not CLIL was could improve students' English speaking skills.

II. METHOD

The qualitative research involved two groups of student. Fifty semester-two-students majoring in Mechanical Engineering were invited to be research participants. Both groups of students had the same English level of ability after as recommended by their English lecturer. Group one was prepared to be experiment group which was given CLIL-based English lesson and group two was given conventional English lesson. Each group was given five-session English lesson. The topic of discussion was 'welding'. In the first session, CLIL group of students were grouped into some groups and each group was distributed with some tasks. The five-session English learning involved five stages, as follows: (1) grouping students and distributing and explaining what task and how to do it; (2) control students who had been working out their task in group; (3) each group by aspect present their work; (4) students worked in group to prepare their report and presentation in front of class; and (5) each group presented their work in front of class and teacher evaluated and give feedback upon learning, students were given test to measure their English competence. The test was in form of role play that they have to perform in form of speech. Their performance (control and experiment groups) was evaluated using scoring rubric which measured five aspects, such as fluency, pronunciation, comprehension, grammar, and complexity [20]. The data of test result of both groups were analysed using descriptive statistics to see difference between both groups' achievement. Apart from performance-based test, participants of experiment group were also given questionnaire to know what their perceptions like about CLIL model implemented in English lesson.

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Effectiveness of CLIL

CLIL effectiveness was measured with a test in form of role-play. Topic of test was chosen in the curriculum of English applied in class. The test measured how competent students were at English, both language usage or forms and use or communication skill. It could clearly recognize that control group's achievement was 303. Their average score was 60.6. Research participant of this group was best at 'comprehension' aspect, with score 64. However, their scores of 'fluency' and 'accuracy' were almost similar, they

were both 62. This condition indicated that students' skill of productive was dominating, and they could understand direction or utterances better than produce utterances or sentences. In term of 'accuracy', their 'complexity' score was higher than their 'grammar' score (60 and 55). The students seemed to try using complex sentences, such as bi- clause sentences, conjunctions or connectors, longer noun phrases, and so forth without paying attention to grammatical aspects to make them accurate. Their scores can be seen beneath as shown in Table I.

TABLE I. CONTROL GROUP SCORES

Fluency			Accuracy		Total
Fl	Pron	Comp	Gram	Compl	
62	62	64	55	60	303

Fl: fluency; Pron: pronunciation; Comp: comprehension; Gram: Grammar; Compl: complexity.

Competence of experiment group could weigh out that of control group even though not very sharp. Their total scores were 356 or 52 points higher than control group's score. The increase was 17.16%. Their highest score was on aspect of 'fluency' and 'comprehension'. Their aspect of 'pronunciation' obtained 75. As control group, experiment group was also better at aspect of 'fluency' better than 'accuracy'. It can be seen that their 'complexity' score was 62 and their 'grammar' score was 59 as shown in Table II. Their 'fluency' average score was 78.3 and their 'accuracy' average score was '60'.

TABLE II. EXPERIMENT GROUP SCORES

Fluency			Accuracy		Total
Fl	Pron	Comp	Gram	Compl	
80	75	80	59	62	356

Fl: fluency; Pron: pronunciation; Comp: comprehension; Gram: Grammar; Compl: complexity.

The slight difference between experiment group and control group (17.16%) might be resulted by learning hour. A five-session meeting seemed to be a slightly limited learning time for the class which applied CLIL. In case of longer learning duration, where students could practice the CLIL procedures, such as discussion in group, presenting result in a small group, presenting result in a larger group and in front of class, longer learning hours to discuss more topic would be helpful for students as they would certainly be able to improve their English competence better than control group through using language in such activities. In addition, their prior learning habit which was text book- oriented and conventional model were fossilizing them that they found it hard to adjust with a new learning model. They get used to focus on two main aspects to discuss, they were language and content. The learning model they used to implement was grammar translation method, presentation practice production [1], [22-25]. Aspect of 'cognitive' in CLIL proposed by [3] seemed to make students fairly confused particularly when they were assigned to raise materials related to 'cognitive' aspect of 'welding' as it is 'according to them' very similar with aspect 'content'. Thus, they were puzzled to determine it. In addition, they did not get used to do autonomous learning yet for instance when they were assigned to search some information about

the topic. Apart from it, presentation technic should be frequently introduced as they were found weak in performing presentation in front of class. They should be introduced with strategies and technic of presentation.

B. Perception on CLIL Aspects

Seeing from aspects of CLIL which were implemented during this research, it is important to recall in order to create better model coinciding to learners' character and learning goal. As proposed by Bergman et al. four aspects of language, culture, cognitive, and content were not totally relevant to what students need to experience for the sake of goal achieving [3]. As area of cognitive was found confusing and vague with that of content, it was assumed that cognitive can be merged with content. In other word, aspects of CLIL proposed by Banegas [10], including sociocultural, language and content seemed to be coinciding with students characters and learning outcome.

IV. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Even though CLIL model implemented in Mechanical Engineering class was considered helpful being compared to that of conventional, it was still doubtfully believed whether it is fully visible or not. It is on the basis of the fact that students' achievement increased 17.16% from students who were taught with conventional method. In addition, the fact was also strengthened by perception of students that aspects of CLIL [3] were considered complicated and a bit puzzling. Thus, research participants believed that simplifying those aspects would help students comprehend their work more easily. Demanding student with task that could make them confused would not result in their better performance of producing communicative skill. Considering complexity of CLIL aspects proposed by Bergman [3], students seemed to be demanded with such a task containing more detailed procedure. Therefore, they felt more convenient after being introduced with a simpler procedure, like that proposed by Banegas [10] which combined cognitive and content aspects.

However, this assumption has to be put in a further research. There should be a replicating research which try to use CLIL proposed by Bergman [3] by using different setting, number of participant, topics or other aspects. It can be carried out in purpose to proven whether or not this model of CLIL is effective. Other possibility can be a research using CLIL proposed by [10]. This can be empowered to see effectiveness of the model in order for us to compare both model of CLIL effectiveness.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to express our gratitude to State Polytechnic of Bali who had funded the research that it was successfully undertaken. In addition, thank was given to our colleagues who had supported us with great motivation during the work.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Ball, K. Kelly, and J. Clegg, *Oxford handbooks for Language Teachers: Putting CLIL into Practice*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2016.
- [2] D. Coyle, P. Hood, and D. Marsh, *CLIL: Content and Language Integrated Learning*, Cambridge: University Press, 2010.
- [3] B. Bergman, "Reflection on an integrated content and language project-based design of a technical communication course for electrical engineering students," *Journal of Academic Writing*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1-14, 2013.
- [4] M. Y. Alimin, "A methodological model for integrating character within content and language integrated learning in sociology of religion," *Jurnal Komunitas*, vol. 5, pp. 267-279, 2013.
- [5] Dalton-Puffer, *Discourse in Content and Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) Classroom*. Philadelphia: John, Benjamins, 2007.
- [6] J. Ford, "Knowledge transfer across disciplines: tracking rhetorical Strategies from a Technical Communication classroom to an Engineering Classroom," *IEEE Transaction on Professional Communication*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 301-314, 2004.
- [7] M. Paretti, "Teaching communication in a Capstone design: The role of instructor in situated learning," *Journal of Engineering Education* 97, vol 4, pp. 491-503, 2008.
- [8] M. Poe, N. Lemer and J. Craig, *Learning to communicate in science and engineering: Case studies from MIT*. Cambridge: MIT Press, 2010.
- [9] C. Raisanen, Fortanet, and Gomez, *The State of ESP Teaching and Learning in Western Europe Higher Education after Bologna*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 2008.
- [10] D. L. Benegas, "Integrating content and language in English language teaching in secondary education: Models, benefits, and challenges," *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 111-136, 2011.
- [11] J. Lantolf, *Sociocultural Theory and Second Language Learning*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [12] J. Lantolf and S. Thome, *Sociocultural Theory and The Genesis of Second Language Development*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [13] M. Warford, *Narrative Language Pedagogy and The Stabilization of Indigenous Languages*, 2010.
- [14] J. Richards and T. S. Rodgers, *Approaches and Method in Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [15] D. Larsen-Freeman, *Technic and Principles in Language Teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [16] D. Coyle, P. Hood, and D. Marsh, *CLIL Content and Language Integrated Learning*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [17] D. Hymes, *On Communicative Competence*. Armondsworth: Penguin, pp. 269-285, 1972.
- [18] R. Barwell, "Critical issues for language and content in mainstream classrooms: Introduction," *Linguistics and Education*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 143-150, 2005.
- [19] P. Somawati, *Pengembangan model pembelajaran berbasis task (TBLT)*, 2018.
- [20] R. Sheen, "A critical analysis of the advocacy of the task-based Syllabus," *TESOL Quarterly*, vol. 28, no.1, pp. 127-151, 1994.
- [21] G. W. Hu, "Contextual influences on instructional practices: A Chinese case for an ecological approach to ELT," *TESOL Quarterly*, vol. 39, pp. 635 - 660, 2005.
- [22] M. Swain, "Legislation by hypothesis: The case of task-based Instruction," *Applied Linguistics*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 376-401, 2005.
- [23] C. Burrows, "Socio-cultural barriers facing TBL in Japan," *The Language Teacher*, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 15-19, 2008.
- [24] R. Sato, "Suggestions for creating approaches suitable to the Japanese EFL environment," *The Language Teacher*, vol. 33, no. 9, pp. 11-14, 2009.

Effectiveness of Content-Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) toward Students' English Competence

ORIGINALITY REPORT

7%

SIMILARITY INDEX

4%

INTERNET SOURCES

3%

PUBLICATIONS

3%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	www.semanticscholar.org Internet Source	1%
2	Submitted to University of Wisconsin - Stout Student Paper	1%
3	Submitted to Oklahoma State University Student Paper	1%
4	Anak Agung Ngurah Gde Saptaka, Anak Agung Ngurah Made Narottama, I Nyoman Sugiarta, I Ketut Ta et al. "Water Cooling on 30 Watt-peak Solar Panel", 2018 International Conference on Applied Science and Technology (iCAST), 2018 Publication	1%
5	Cristina A. Huertas-Abril. "chapter 2 Designing and Producing CLIL Materials for Mathematics Content Learning", IGI Global, 2022 Publication	1%
6	Padma Nyoman Crisnapati, I Nyoman Kusuma Wardana, I Komang Agus Ady	1%

Aryanto. "Rudas: Energy and sensor devices management system in home automation", 2016 IEEE Region 10 Symposium (TENSYMP), 2016

Publication

7

mjltm.org
Internet Source

<1 %

8

research.library.mun.ca
Internet Source

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

Effectiveness of Content-Language Integrated Learning (CLIL) toward Students' English Competence

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

Instructor

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4
