



I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram <mataram@pnb.ac.id>

Pra Review Artikel ICAST 2019

7 messages

I Wayan Suasnawa <suasnawa@pnb.ac.id>
To: mataram@pnb.ac.id

Sun, Sep 1, 2019 at 7:43 PM

Kepada Yth
Bapak/Ibu I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram
Judul artikel : Online Promotion Policy Model of Tourists Visiting Pinge Tourism Village, Tabanan, Bali

Berkenaan dengan artikel Bapak/Ibu di ICAST SS 2019, ada beberapa hal yang perlu diperbaiki:

1. Abstract ditulis bold. Harap perhatikan template
2. Penulisan Bab tidak bold, harap perhatikan template
3. Penulisan sub bab tidak menggunakan 1.1, melainkan A. B. C. italic. Harap perhatikan template
4. Pengutipan dengan tanda kurung siku tidak bold.
5. Penulisan Judul gambar : Fig. 1. Judul gambar, perhatikan template
6. Penulisan Reference, ambil reference dari lebih banyak artikel jurnal atau buku berbahasa Inggris.

Template bisa diunduh di

<https://icast.politeknik.or.id/2019/docs/AtlantisPress-TEMPLATE.doc>

Demikian untuk Bapak/Ibu maklum dan memperbaikinya. Saya menanti hasil perbaikan ini melalui e-mail paling lambat tanggal 4 September 2019. Atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya, saya sampaikan terima kasih.

Hormat saya,
I Wayan Suasnawa
Local Committee ICAST 2019.
WA 085.722.380.656

I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram <mataram@pnb.ac.id>
To: I Wayan Suasnawa <suasnawa@pnb.ac.id>

Wed, Sep 4, 2019 at 6:30 PM

Selamat sore Bapak I Wayan Suasnawa.

Terlampir (attached) file perbaikan saya sesuai petunjuk bapak yaitu:

1. Abstract ditulis bold. Harap perhatikan template ==> **Sudah saya boldkan**
2. Penulisan Bab tidak bold, harap perhatikan template ==> **Sudah dirubah menjadi normal**
3. Penulisan sub bab tidak menggunakan 1.1, melainkan A. B. C. italic. Harap perhatikan template ==> **Sudah dirubah dari angka menjadi huruf**
4. Pengutipan dengan tanda kurung siku tidak bold.==> **Tanda kurung siku sudah dinormalkan**
5. Penulisan Judul gambar : Fig. 1. Judul gambar, perhatikan template ==> **Figure 1 sudah disingkat menjadi Fig. 1. sesuai contoh template**
6. Penulisan Reference, ambil reference dari lebih banyak artikel jurnal atau buku berbahasa Inggris.==> **Kutipan sudah ditambah dengan yang berbahasa Inggris dari 14 menjadi 21**

Terimakasih atas bantuan reviewnya. Mohon maaf jika seandainya masih ada yang terlewatkan.

Hormat saya,
I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram.

[Quoted text hidden]

 **REVISI Artikel iCAST I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram Rev2.doc**
423K

I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram <mataram@pnb.ac.id>
To: I Wayan Suasnawa <suasnawa@pnb.ac.id>

Wed, Sep 4, 2019 at 7:33 PM

Maaf pak, saya masih akan mengirim revisi lagi karena saya amsih menemukan kesalahan.

[Quoted text hidden]

I Wayan Suasnawa <suasnawa@pnb.ac.id>
To: I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram <mataram@pnb.ac.id>

Wed, Sep 4, 2019 at 8:02 PM

Oke pak agung Mataram

Suksma...

[Quoted text hidden]

I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram <mataram@pnb.ac.id>
To: I Wayan Suasnawa <suasnawa@pnb.ac.id>

Wed, Sep 4, 2019 at 8:06 PM

Niki pak.

Suksma

[Quoted text hidden]



REVISI Artikel iCAST I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram Rev3.doc
423K

I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram <mataram@pnb.ac.id>
To: I Wayan Suasnawa <suasnawa@pnb.ac.id>

Thu, Sep 19, 2019 at 8:21 AM

Selamat pagi pak Suasnawa,
Tyang mengirim email ini karena:

1. Berdasarkan info pada EDAS bahwa tyang baru ada review dari reviewer 1 DAN
2. Berdasarkan chat di WA group dari pak Gung Sapteka bahwa kalau baru hanya mendapat 1 review diharap menyampaikan ke editor masing-masing.

Suksma.

Berikut capturenya:

Personal notes



You are the creator and an author for this paper.

Reviews


1 Meta Review

Review 1 (Reviewer G)

| Significance | Originality | Quality | Clarity | Relevance |
|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Good (3) | Good (3) | Fair (2) | Good (3) | Good (3) |

Comments (Comments to the authors)

Fig. 1 & 2 have not been referred to in paragraphs. use IEEE for citations. Please checks your document against common grammar rules.



[Quoted text hidden]

I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram <mataram@pnb.ac.id>
To: I Wayan Suasnawa <suasnawa@pnb.ac.id>

Mon, Sep 23, 2019 at 1:44 AM

Selamat malam pak Suasnawa.

Berikut tiyang attach file perbaikan artikel iCAST sesuai dengan petunjuk Reviewer 1. Reviewer 2 tidak memberikan petunjuk perbaikan. Adapun perbaikannya adalah menyangkutkan (memakai) Fig.1 dan Fig. 2 di dalam tulisan, dan

[Quoted text hidden]



REVISI Artikel iCAST I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram Rev4.doc
426K



I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram <mataram@pnb.ac.id>

[iCAST-SS 2019] Your abstract #1570585901 ('Online Promotion Policy Model of Tourists Visiting Pinge Tourism Village, Tabanan, Bali')

1 message

icast-ss2019-chairs@edas.info <icast-ss2019-chairs@edas.info>

Wed, Sep 18, 2019 at 9:27 PM

Reply-To: iCAST-SS 2019 <icast-ss2019-chairs@edas.info>

To: I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram <mataram@pnb.ac.id>, Ni Wayan Wahyu Astuti <wayanwahuastuti@pnb.ac.id>, Ida Ayu Kade Werdika Damayanti <werdikadamayanti@pnb.ac.id>, Nyoman Indah Kusuma Dewi <ikdewi@pnb.ac.id>

Dear Mr. I Gusti Agung Bagus Mataram:

Congratulations - your abstract #1570585901 ('Online Promotion Policy Model of Tourists Visiting Pinge Tourism Village, Tabanan, Bali') for iCAST-SS 2019 has been accepted and you are asked to submit a full manuscript for review.

The reviews are below or can be found at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570585901>.**Meta Review 1****Significance:** - How important is the work reported? Does it attack an important/difficult problem (as opposed to a peripheral/simple one)? - Does the approach offered advance the state of the art? - Does it involve or synthesize ideas, methods, approaches from multiple disciplines? - Does it have interesting implications for multiple disciplines?*

Good (3)

Originality: - Is this a new issue? Is this a novel approach to an issue? - Is this a novel combination of familiar ideas/techniques/methods/approaches? - Does the paper point out differences from related research? - Does the paper properly situate itself with respect to previous work?

Good (3)

Quality: - Is the paper technically sound? How are its claims backed up? - Does it carefully evaluate the strengths and limitations of its contribution?

Fair (2)

Clarity: - Is the paper clearly written? Does it motivate the research? Does it describe clearly the methods employed (e.g., experimental procedures, algorithms, analytical tools), if any? - Are the results, if any, described and evaluated thoroughly? - Is the paper organized in a sensible and logical fashion?

Good (3)

Relevance: - Is the paper closely related to the theme of the conference (broadly conceived)? - Is the content interesting enough to a broad audience? - Is the paper readable in a multi-disciplinary context?

Good (3)

Comments: Comments to the authors

Fig. 1 & 2 have not been referred to in paragraphs. use IEEE for citations. Please check your document against common grammar rules.

Regards, The conference chairs