



Nyoman Indah Kusuma Dewi <ikdewi@pnb.ac.id>

[iCAST-SS 2019] #1570573345 has been uploaded

1 message

icast-ss2019-chairs@edas.info <icast-ss2019-chairs@edas.info>

Tue, Sep 17, 2019 at 3:56 PM

Reply-To: iCAST-SS 2019 <icast-ss2019-chairs@edas.info>

To: I Ketut Suarja <ketutsuarja@pnb.ac.id>, Nyoman Indah Kusuma Dewi <ikdewi@pnb.ac.id>, I Nyoman Rejeki <nyomanmeirejeki@pnb.ac.id>

Dear Dr. Anak Agung Ngurah Gde Sapteka:

Thank you for uploading your paper 1570573345 (*Development of Potential-Based Tourism Models in Village Tourism Village, Petang District Badung Regency*) to **International Conference on Applied Science and Technology on Social Science 2019 (iCAST-SS 2019)**. The paper is of type application/pdf and has a length of 382785 bytes.

You can modify your paper at <https://www.edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570573345> and see all your submissions at <https://www.edas.info/index.php?c=26344> using the EDAS identifier sapteka@pnb.ac.id

Regards,
The conference chairs



Nyoman Indah Kusuma Dewi <ikdewi@pnb.ac.id>

[iCAST-SS 2019] Your abstract #1570573345 ('Development of Potential-Based Tourism Models in Village Tourism Village, Petang District Badung Regency')

1 message

icast-ss2019-chairs@edas.info <icast-ss2019-chairs@edas.info>

Wed, Sep 18, 2019 at 9:27 PM

Reply-To: iCAST-SS 2019 <icast-ss2019-chairs@edas.info>

To: I Ketut Suarja <ketutsuarja@pnb.ac.id>, Nyoman Indah Kusuma Dewi <ikdewi@pnb.ac.id>, I Nyoman Rejeki <nyomanmeirejeki@pnb.ac.id>

Dear Mr. I Ketut Suarja:

Congratulations - your abstract #1570573345 ('Development of Potential-Based Tourism Models in Village Tourism Village, Petang District Badung Regency') for iCAST-SS 2019 has been accepted and you are asked to submit a full manuscript for review.

The reviews are below or can be found at <https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570573345>.

Meta Review 1

Significance: - How important is the work reported? Does it attack an important/difficult problem (as opposed to a peripheral/simple one)? - Does the approach offered advance the state of the art? - Does it involve or synthesize ideas, methods, approaches from multiple disciplines? - Does it have interesting implications for multiple disciplines?*

Excellent (4)

Originality: - Is this a new issue? Is this a novel approach to an issue? - Is this a novel combination of familiar ideas/techniques/methods/approaches? - Does the paper point out differences from related research? - Does the paper properly situate itself with respect to previous work?

Good (3)

Quality: - Is the paper technically sound? How are its claims backed up? - Does it carefully evaluate the strengths and limitations of its contribution?

Excellent (4)

Clarity: - Is the paper clearly written? Does it motivate the research? Does it describe clearly the methods employed (e.g., experimental procedures, algorithms, analytical tools), if any? - Are the results, if any, described and evaluated thoroughly? - Is the paper organized in a sensible and logical fashion?

Excellent (4)

Relevance: - Is the paper closely related to the theme of the conference (broadly conceived)? - Is the content interesting enough to a broad audience? - Is the paper readable in a multi-disciplinary context?

Good (3)

Comments: Comments to the authors

1. Tables and figures are well referenced
2. The methods are detailed in a great way

Regards, The conference chairs