RESULTS OF REVIEW FOR PAPER

Original Title: Development of E-Module Business Recording Using QR Code-Based Digital Application to Improve Soft Skill of SMEs Deaf Disabled Persons in Denpasar City

Author(s): Gede Pradiva Adiningrat, Ida Ayu Putri Widiasuari Riyasa, Ni Putu Maha Lina, Nyoman Indah Kusuma Dewi

Decision of Paper Selection:

( ) A. Accept submission, no revisions required;
(*) B. Accept submission, minor revisions required; please revise the paper according to comments;
( ) C. Accept submission, major revisions required; please revise the paper according to comments;
( ) D. Decline submission; you may revise and resubmit for review;
( ) E. Decline submission.

Additional Information (Only for accepted papers, A & B)
- You may download the e-journal in PDF free of charge at: www.econeurasia.com
- Other questions please contact the editorial assistant at: igustiayutirtayani@gmail.com

Comments from Reviewers and editors:

Evaluation	Grade Please give a grade of 5, 4, 3, 2, 1(high to low)
Contribution to existing knowledge	4
Organization and readability	4
Soundness of methodology	5
Evidence supports conclusion	5
Adequacy of literature review	4
Overall evaluation of the paper	*4.4*

No.	Point of Review
1	Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?
Yes.	
2	Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?
Yes.	
3	Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?
Yes	
4	Results: Are results presented clearly and analyzed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?
Yes.	
5	Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?:
Extended insight for further research	

6	Quality of discussion: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.
Good quality.	

Comments and Suggestions

(*) Revise the paper according to Authors' Guideline: https://rjoas.com/
(*) Additional Proofreading required in order to exclude mistypes
(*) Paper should be written in English only – revise tables and figures content accordingly.
( ) Picture(s)/figure(s) are not clear; 300 dpi is required.
( ) Move the footnotes to endnotes.
( ) Revise table(s) into three-line table(s).
( ) Insert table(s) and figure(s) into the text, not after references.
(*) Similarity index is high, revise to keep the Similarity Index ≤10% and single source matches are not >5%.

Note: revise your paper according to the items with "*"

By this letter confirmed that paper titled «DEVELOPMENT OF E-MODULE BUSINESS RECORDING USING QR CODE-BASED DIGITAL APPLICATION TO IMPROVE SOFT SKILL OF SMES DEAF DISABLED PERSONS IN DENPASAR CITY» (authors: *Adiningrat Gede Pradiva, Riyasa Ida Ayu Putri Widiasuari, Lina Ni Putu Maha, Dewi Nyoman Indah Kusuma*) submitted by *Adiningrat Gede Pradiva* (Department of Business Administration, Politeknik Negeri Bali, Indonesia) has been accepted and published in Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences (RJOAS), issue 10(130) of October 2022.

Editor-in-Chief   S. Plygun