Plagiarism Checker X - Report

Originality Assessment

7%

Overall Similarity

Date: Aug 6, 2023
Matches: 232 / 3196 words
Sources: 16

Remarks: Low similarity detected, check with your supervisor if changes are required.

Verify Report:
Scan this QR Code

Journal of Namibian Studies, 34(2023): 3073–3082 ISSN: 2197-5523 (online)

Analysis Effect Severity and Occurrence Work Accident Risk Detection With Failure Mode and Effect Analysis Method on Continuing Development Project for The Bali Tourism Polytechnic Practice Hotel Building

I Ketut Sutapa1, I Made Anom Santiana2, I Gede Sastra Wibawa3

Abstract Risk is inherent in every activity. The risk of accidents occurring in construction projects is high, but programs on work safety are not receiving much attention. In practice, there are many risks of accidents that cause damage to equipment or buildings and potentially detrimental effects on employees' strength after returning to work. K3 is an essential factor in work safety that can affect the risk of accidents if K3 does not work well. This study aims to determine the most dominant work accidents and the effect of severity and incidence on the detection of work accidents. Our method is to use one case study with direct observation of building construction projects. The data obtained were analyzed by FMEA (Failure Mode and Effect Analysis) method and multiple linear regression. FMEA is a structured procedure to identify and prevent as many failure modes as possible (Failure Mode). This method determines the RPN value of the most dominant work in the field. The most dominant risk of work accidents is found in floor plate work, with a total RPN value of 601.145. Severity and incidence simultaneously positively and significantly affect project cost overruns. The proportion of the effect of severity and incidence on cost overruns is 72%. Keywords: Work Accidents Risk, Failure Mode, Effect Analysis, Building Projects.

1. INTRODUCTION

Work accidents often occur in construction projects. There is a need for specific steps and handling to reduce the high risk of work

1 Department of Civil Engineering, State Polytechnic of Bali, Indonesia, ketutsutapa@pnb.ac.id 2 Department of Civil Engineering, State Polytechnic of Bali, Indonesia, madeanomsantiana@pnb.ac.id 3 Department of Civil Engineering, State Polytechnic of Bali, Indonesia, sastrawibawagede@gmail.com

 accidents on construction projects. A unique program is needed to reduce the level of risk of work accidents, and special attention is required so that the program can be

successful. Several things hinder the success of work safety programs, including poor job planning, improper work safety training, inadequate budget for work safety, and lack of investigations and evaluations procedure for work accidents [10]. Based on the facts above, work safety management is an important part that needs to be looked into deeper in the construction industry, including Indonesia. Work safety management functions to prevent work accidents. It can be done by controlling the high risk from its consequences, possibility of occurrence, and ease of detection [1]. Work safety management is also a part of the study of ergonomics. One of the principles of implementing an ergonomic building construction project is to enable workers to work comfortably, safely, healthily, and productively. Safety and comforts are essential to work in industry or on a task [9]. Work safety management also discusses risk management. Risk management is an effort to systematically implement regulatory policies and practical management efforts to analyze the use and control of risk to protect workers, society, and the environment [5]. In continuing the building of a hotel for practical purposes, the Continuing Development Project for the Bali Tourism Polytechnic Practice Hotel Building, work safety management is applied to overcome various possible work accidents. Different methods have been introduced to identify potential work accidents, measure the level of risk of work accidents and evaluate work accidents. These methods include: a checklist, hazops, what if, FMEA, audits, CIA (Confidentiality, Integrity, and Availability), FTA (Fault Tree Analysis), and ETA (Event Tree Analysis). Among these methods, FMEA is the most appropriate method to fulfill the objectives described above. Therefore this study focuses on the FMEA method to identify potential hazards of work accidents and measure the level of risk. FMEA is a structured procedure to identify and prevent as many failure modes as possible. A failure mode includes a defect, a condition outside the specified specifications, or a change in the product that causes the effect to malfunction [3]. The method is used to identify the sources and causes of a problem that occurred in each work process. In this study, FMEA was conducted to see the risks that might occur in maintenance operations and company operational activities. Three things help determine the disturbance, among others [2]: (1)

Frequency of occurrence; in determining this occurrence, it can be determined how many disturbances can cause a failure in

3075 maintenance operations and factory operational activities, (2) the level of severity, in determining the level of severity it can be determined how serious the occurrence of process failures causes the damage in terms of maintenance operations and factory operational activities, (3) the ease of detection, in determining the ease of detection can be determined how FMEA PENE Detection can predict the frequency, severity dan ease of detection to be known before it occurs. The detection rate can also be influenced by the number of controls that govern the process [13]. The more rules and procedures that regulate the maintenance management system and factory operational activities, the better the detection rate of failure will be achieved. Besides using the FMEA method to determine the dominant risk, it is also necessary to analyze the effect of severity and frequency of occurrence on work accident detection to see how significant the influence of severity and detection on work accident detection is. This study aims to determine the most dominant work accidents that occur and the effect of severity and occurrence on the detection of work accidents in the building of a hotel for practical purposes by Continuing Development Project for the Bali Tourism Polytechnic Practice Hotel Building. 2. METHODS The research is designed as one case study type, conducted by observation, to analyze the effect of severity and frequency of occurrence of work accident risks on detection using the FMEA method on building projects. The analysis was carried out on Continuing Development Project for the Bali Tourism Polytechnic Practice Hotel Building. The failure analysis method was carried out using the FMEA method, designed 2 to identify potential failure modes for a product or process before a problem occurs to assess the risk. In the FMEA method, a single point of failure is determined, which is very important. It ranks each failure according to the criticality of the failure effect and the probability of its occurrence. The data obtained were then analyzed to find the most dominant occupational risk factor in the continuation of the construction project Continuing

Development Project for the Bali Tourism Polytechnic Practice Hotel Building using the FMEA method. A multiple regression test and a coefficient of determination test (R2) were carried out to find this factor. The selection of this project as the object of study is based on the fact that the project has activity criteria that match the research title and has a large scale of work, so it is interesting to review, especially regarding the risk of work accidents.

3076 To calculate risk with the FMEA method, the three risk components are multiplied by each other, resulting in 2 Risk Priority Number (RPN) [13]. They are: 1. Severity (S): Severity is described on a 10-point scale where ten is the highest. 2. Occurrence (O): Occurrence is described on a 10-point scale where ten is the highest. 3. Detection (D): Detection is described on a 10-point scale where ten is the highest. RPN= S*O*D. [2] RPNmin= 1 while RPNmax = 1000 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 3.1 FMEA Methods Analysis The FMEA method was analyzed to determine the most dominant occupational accident factor in constructing a hotel building for the Continuing Development Project for the Bali Tourism Polytechnic Practice Hotel Building. The results of the analysis are shown in the following table: Table 1. FMEA Method RPN Value Test Results No Work Items Function Failure Mode SEVERITY (SI%) OCCURANCE (SI%) DETECTION (SI%) RPN 1 Soil Excavation & Backfill Excavation of The groundwater level is higher than the river 46.25 40.00 51.25 94,813 Soft soil conditions 45.00 42.50 47.50 90,844 Soft soil conditions 48.75 48.75 37.50 89,121 Heavy equipment accident The project environment is not clean 43.75 45.00 47.50 93,516 37.50 45.00 47.50 80,156 2 Foundry work The casting work is with a floor plate Workers not concentratin g 56.25 47.50 42.50 113,555

3077 No Work Items Function Failure Mode SEVERITY (SI%) OCCURANCE (SI%) DETECTION (SI%) RPN thickness of ±12cm and a floor area of 742.56 m2. So the volume of cast concrete 36 floors = 3.207.86 m3. Workers not concentratin g 36.25 62.50 60.00 135,938 Workers not concentratin g 61.25 56.25 45.00 155,039 Heavy equipment that

doesn't work well 53.75 27.50 57.50 84,992 Workers are not careful 47.50 56.25 42.50 113,555 3 Formwork or print work Manufacture and installation of formwork with mobile cranes, vibrators which function to compact the concrete in the formwork Disturbing project environment 43.75 43.75 47.50 90,918 Workers not concentratin g 41.25 41.25 55.00 93,586 Improper implementat ion method 35.00 35.00 47.50 58,188 Workers are not careful 38.75 38.75 52.50 78,832 4 Rebar and fabrication work Production and cutting of iron with plain and threaded iron with diameters of 10,12,16. Workers not concentratin g 43.75 45.00 42.50 83,672 In its implementatio Workers are not careful 41.25 42.50 55.00 96,422

3078 No Work Items Function Failure Mode SEVERITY (SI%) OCCURANCE (SI%) DETECTION (SI%) RPN n, the heavy equipment used is an iron cutting machine Workers not concentratin g 35.00 41.25 48.75 70,383 Rebar installation at the location of the cast Workers are not careful 40.00 40.00 50.00 80,000 Workers are not careful 45.00 45.00 32.50 65,813 5 Light brick work Transportatio n of materials used in the installation of lightweight bricks Heavy equipment / stairs that don't work 48.75 33.75 51.25 84,322 Mortar mixing machine is not in place 41.25 40.00 55.00 90,750 Workers are not careful 35.00 51.25 40.00 71,750 Unclean project environment 38.75 37.50 46.25 67,207 45.00 45.00 42.50 86,063 Based on the value of the Risk Priority Number above, it is found that repairs need to be prioritized from the many types of the accident that occurred was foundry work, specifically with a floor plate thickness of ±12cm and a floor area of 742.56 m2. This is because the highest RPN value for each of the five types of work is obtained in the floor plate casting job, specifically in the failure mode of scratched by objects, sharp/blunt, slipped, bumped, squeezed by the formwork with an RPN value of 155.039. The priority of repairs that must be carried out first is the work of casting floor plates with a floor plate thickness of ±12cm and a floor area of 742.56 m2; because the floor plate casting work has the highest RPN value, the way to improve it is to look for factors causing the risk of work accidents by interview and literature study. The occurrence of this failure

mode is due to several things. Failure Mode occurs when an item or operation has the potential to fail to fulfill or deliver its intended function and associated requirements. These failure modes include failure to perform tasks within prescribed

3079 limits, the inadequate or poor performance of functions, intermittent performance of parts, and performing undesired or undesired operations [4]. Work accident control and risk level control must be controlled in occupational safety and health management to reduce accidents [6]. 3.2 Multiple Linear Regression Analysis Multiple linear regression analysis was conducted to determine the influence of severity and occurrence on the detection of work accidents. Before the multiple linear regression test is performed, it is necessary to test the reliability of the regression model or have conditions that a multiple linear regression model must meet. If the value of sig. F arithmetic is smaller than the error rate (α) of 5% (sig. F < 5%), then it can be said that the multiple linear regression model that is calculated is feasible. The following are the results of the regression model reliability test on the processed data: Table 2. Simultaneous Significance Test Results Model Sum of Squares df Mean Square F Sig. Regression 106.859 2 53.429 28.461 0.000 Residual 41.301 22 1.877 Total 148.160 24 Based on the table above, the calculated F significant value is 0.000, much smaller than the 0.05 significance level requirement. Therefore, it can be ascertained that the estimated multiple linear regression model is feasible to use to explain the effect of severity and occurrence on work accident detection. In addition, it is necessary to test the influence of each variable using the t-test. The t-test in multiple linear regression aims to test the parameters in the form of regression coefficients and constants suspected in estimating the multiple linear regression model, which is the proper parameter. This t-test is used to determine how far the influence of the independent variables (Severity and Occurance) was used in this study individually in explaining the dependent variable partially. In this t-test, only the slope parameter (regression coefficient) will be focused. The following table presents the coefficients, which are the results of the regression

coefficient test: Table 3. Coefficient of Multiple Regression Model Model Unstandardized Coefficients standardized Coefficients t Sig. B Std. Error Beta 1 (Constant) 1.36 3.01 0.45 0.65

3080 Severity (X1) 0.53 0.13 0.55 4.04 0.00 Occurance (X2) 0.44 0.15 0.40 2.96 0.01 From the results of the t-statistic test, it can be seen that the coefficients of the independent variables that are included 12 in the regression model, severity, and occurrence variables have a significant effect on the detection of continued development of the hotel building aimed for practical class at the Continuing Development Project for the Bali Tourism Polytechnic Practice Hotel Building. Table 3 above presents the results of calculating 1 the multiple linear regression coefficients. Shown the respective values of the constants and coefficients of the independent variables, the coefficient value of the constant is 1.36, the coefficient value of the severity variable is 0.53, and the coefficient value of the occurrence variable is 0.44. Based on the calculations in the table, 1 the multiple linear regression equation of severity (X1) and occurrence (X2) of Detection (Y) is Y = 1.36 + 0.53 X1 + 0.44 X2. The constant value of 1.36 in the equation states the severity and safety of the project manager. If the variable is continuous or has a value of 0, then the project detection value is 1.36 units. The value of 0.53 contained in the severity regression coefficient states that severity with detection has an influence. Every increase in one unit of severity will cause an increase of 0.53 in detection, assuming the occurrence variable coefficient is constant. Likewise, the value of 0.44 contained in the occurrence regression coefficient states that occurrence with detection has an influence. Every increase of one unit of occurrence coefficient will cause an increase of 0.44 in detection, catering to Paribus. 3.3 Coefficient of Determination Test (R2) 1 The coefficient of determination test (R2) was carried out to measure how much the model can explain the independent variables' variation (Severity and occurrence). 4 The coefficient of determination describes the variation in the effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable (detection). In addition, 5 the coefficient of determination can also be

said as the proportion of the influence of the independent variable on the dependent variable. The calculation of the coefficient of judgment in this study uses the value of R-square to represent the coefficient of self-determination. The following table is presented, which results from 4 the coefficient of determination of all independent variables on the dependent variable.

3081 Table 4. Results of the Coefficient of Determination Model R R Square Adjusted R Square Std. An error in the Estimate 1 0.85 0.72 0.70 1.37 Based on the table of determination coefficient test results above, it is found that the value of R square is 0.72 indicating that the proportion of severity and occurrence on detection for development is 72%. In comparison, other factors do not influence the remaining 28% 12 in the regression model. Linear. Prevention or control of one or more fault mechanisms is the only way to reduce the severity and occurrence level. Eliminating or reducing the cause or mechanism of each hazard will reduce the number of severity and Occurrence probability values [8]. 4. Conclusion The most dominant work accident risk was found in the floor plate casting work, with a total RPN value of 601.145. Severity and occurrence simultaneously positively and significantly affect project cost overruns. 5 The proportion of the effect of severity and occurrence on cost overruns is 72%. Risks with a high RPN value must receive better attention to reduce the impact caused by these risks. In planning costs, both the cost budget and its implementation plan should pay attention to every aspect of the cost, especially considering the definition of the scope of project implementation. Also, in implementing those, contractors should be able to place project managers who have high competency and experience to minimize disputes in the field and can create good relations between stakeholders in the project. Bibliography [1]ALLI, B. O. (2008). 13 Fundamental Principles of Occupational Health and Safety (Second edi). Geneva: International Labour Office. [2]Andiyanto, S., Sutrisno, A., & Punuhsingon, C. 3 (2017). Penerapan Metode Fmea (Failure Mode And Effect Analysis) Untuk Kuantifikasi Dan Pencegahan Resiko Akibat Terjadinya Lean Waste. Jurnal Online Poros Teknik Mesin, 6(1), 45–57. [3]Carlson,

C. (2012). Effective FMEAs : Achieving safe, reliable, and economical products and processes using failure mode and effect analysis. Canada: United Stated of America.

3082 [4]Carlson, D. S., Kacmar, K. M., & Williams, L. J. (2012). Construction and Initial Validation of a Multidimensional Measure of Work-Family Conflict. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 56, 249–276. [5]Darmawi, & Hermawan. (2011). Manajemen Risiko. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara. [6]Dul, J., & Weerdmeester, B. (2008). Ergonomics For Beginners A Quick Reference Guide, Second Edition (3rd ed.). London: Taylor & Francis. [7]Helander, M. (2006). A Guide to Human Factors and Ergonomics. In Ergonomics (Vol. 51). https://doi.org/10.1080/00140130701680379 [8]Hisprastin, Y., & Musfiroh, I. (2021). Ishikawa Diagram dan Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA) sebagai Metode yang sering digunakan dalam Manajemen Risiko Mutu di Industri. Farmasetika, 6(1), 1–9. [9]HSE. (2013). Ergonomics and human factors at work, A brief guide. In the Health and Safety Executive. Retrieved from http://www.hse.gov.uk/pubns/indg90.pdf [10]ILO. (2013). Keselamatan dan Kesehatan Kerja, Sarana untuk Produktivitas. Jakarta: International Labour Office. [11]Kroemer, K. H. E., & Grandjean, E. (2009). Fitting The Task To The Human, Fifth Editione A Textbook Of Occupational Ergonomics. London: CRC Press. [12]Manuaba, A. (2005). Accelerating OHS-Ergonomics Program By Integrating' Built-In" Within The Industry's Economic Development Scheme Is A Must-With Special Attention To Small And Medium Enteprises (SMEs). Proceedings the 21st Annual Conference of The Asia Pasific Occupational Safety & Health Organization. Bali. [13]Piątkowski, J., & Kamiński, P. (2017). Risk Assessment of Defect Occurrences in Engine Piston Castings by FMEA Method. Archives of Foundry Engineering, 17(3), 107–110. https://doi.org/10.1515/afe2017-0100 [14]Robin, E., Raymond, J. M., & Michael, R. B. (n.d.). The Basic of FMEA. 2 nd Edition. New York: CRC Press. [15]Wang, Y. M., Chin, K. S., G.K.K., P., & J.B., Y. (2009). Risk Evaluation in Failure Mode and Effects Analysis Using Fuzzyweighted Geometric Mean, Expert Systems with Applications. Science Direct, 36(2009), 1995–1207.

Sources

1	https://online.stat.psu.edu/stat501/lesson/5/5.3 INTERNET 1%
2	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Failure_mode_and_effects_analysis INTERNET 1%
3	http://ejournal-balitbang.kkp.go.id/index.php/aureliajournal/article/view/11349 INTERNET 1%
4	https://homework.study.com/explanation/coefficientsa-model-unstandardized-coefficients-standardized-coefficients-t-sig-b-std-error-beta-1-constant-66-204-784-84-483-000-is-work-stressful-1-168-528-081-2-210-027-a-dependent-variab.html INTERNET 1%
5	https://thebusinessprofessor.com/research-analysis-decision-science/coefficient-of-determination-definition INTERNET 1%
6	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781118312575 INTERNET <1%
7	http://jurnal.unpad.ac.id/farmasetika/article/view/27106 INTERNET <1%
8	https://www.statology.org/t-test-linear-regression/ INTERNET <1%
9	https://www.yumpu.com/en/document/view/66058023/pdf-ebook-download-fitting-the-task-to-the-human-fifth-edition-a-textbook-of-occupational-ergonomics INTERNET <1%
10	https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2212567112003401 INTERNET <1%
11	https://accendoreliability.com/understanding-fmea-failure-modes/#:~:text=Depending on the definition of failure established by,function, and/or performing an unintended or undesired function. INTERNET <1%
12	https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8073086/ INTERNET <1%
13	https://www.ilo.org/global/publications/ilo-bookstore/order-online/books/WCMS_093550/lang--en/index.htm INTERNET <1%
14	https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.1201/9781420077520/ergonomics-beginners-bernard-weerdmeester-jan-dul INTERNET <1%

15	https://e-journal.unair.ac.id/MGK/article/view/29142 INTERNET <1%
16	https://www.ioha.net/aposho-news/ INTERNET <1%

EXCLUDE CUSTOM MATCHES	ON
EXCLUDE QUOTES	OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY	OFF