



Plagiarism Checker X - Report

Originality Assessment

4%



Overall Similarity

Date: Feb 25, 2023

Matches: 181 / 4720 words

Sources: 17

Remarks: Low similarity detected, check with your supervisor if changes are required.

Verify Report:

Scan this QR Code



online at <https://sloap.org/journals/index.php/irjeis/> Vol. 8 No. 1, January 2022, pages: 7-16 ISSN: 2454-2261 <https://doi.org/10.21744/irjeis.v8n1.2039> 7 Structure Analysis of Building Functions Transition on the Earthquake Area Five Reviewed from Costs and Time Schedule | Wayan Suasira a | Wayan Intara b | Ketut Sutapa c | Made Anom Santiana d | Gede Sastra Wibawa e | Made Tapa Yasa f Article history: Abstract Submitted: 18 November 2021 Revised: 27 December 2021 Accepted: 09 January 2022 The purpose of this study is to determine the dimensions and reinforcement of structure, cost, and schedule due to the transition structure of the building function. The structural transition of building functions is carried out in the earthquake area five with the classification of soft and hard soils. The building used as a research model is a 3-story residence on Nuansa Utama Selatan Street number 3, Jimbaran, Bali. The planning of this building will be converted into office buildings and shophouse on the 2nd and 3rd floors functioning as a warehouse in the earthquake area five in Bali with the classification of soft and hard soil that will be analyzed using SAP 2000 program so that the structural dimensions are used as a reference in the creation of costs, and schedule obtained using Microsoft Project program. The analysis of structure shows that the structure of a residential house on hard soil has the dimensions and reinforcement of the smallest structure. The ratio of structural reinforcement in the transition of structure functions from residential house to office on hard soil by 1.32% with the lowest structure cost ratio by 1.80% and schedule of the structure by 3.80%. Keywords: building functions; costs; earthquake; time schedule; transition; International research journal of engineering, IT & scientific research © 2022. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). Corresponding author: | Wayan Suasira, Department of Civil Engineering, Bali State Polytechnic, Indonesia. Email address: suasira@gmail.com a Department of Civil Engineering, Bali State Polytechnic, Indonesia b Department of Civil Engineering, Bali State Polytechnic, Indonesia c Department of Civil Engineering, Bali State

Polytechnic, Indonesia d Department of Civil Engineering, Bali State Polytechnic,
Indonesia e Department of Civil Engineering, Bali State Polytechnic, Indonesia
f Department of Civil Engineering, Bali State Polytechnic, Indonesia

□ ISSN: 2454-2261 IRJEIS Vol. 13 8 No. 1, January 2022, pages: 7-16 8 1

Introduction In the development of infrastructure, which is increasingly rapid causing need land in the development as houses, hotels, apartments, offices, etc. The rise of development has an impact to do the construction of multi-story buildings in saving existing land (Doniyor & Khabibulla, 2021). In planning a multi-story building there must be 14 factors that must be considered such as the function of the building, safety, strength, comfort for the user, and certainly must be economical. The transition of building functions that is rife in Badung now has an impact on the change in function from private buildings to commercial buildings (Hölscher et al., 2018; Boisgontier & Cheval, 2016). This becomes an important issue for building owners and construction parties about the transition of building functions who carried out because it has been mentioned in SNI-1727-2013 concerning "Minimum Loads for Building Design and Other Structures" (Badan Standarisasi Nasional, 2013), that the living load of each building is different according to the function of the building. The phenomenon that often occurs that many construction parties do not pay attention to structural calculations in changing the function of buildings from private buildings to the public building. This is certainly very influential in building structures in both dimensions and reinforcement of structures due to different loads. The planning about the transition of building functions must of course have been thought out beforehand by the construction party to improve the safety of the construction (Oral et al., 2004; Babič & Dolšek, 2016; Todorovska & Trifunac, 2008). So that undesirable things do not occur in terms of the strength of the building structure to support the load after changing the function of the building. Building construction has the risk of damage even destruction from the earthquake. Bali is an area that has a high risk of earthquakes because Bali is located at the confluence of three plates namely the Australian plate, Eurasian plate and Pacific

plate, so that it has high volcanic and earthquake activity (Gupta & Khan, 2021). In SNI 03-1726-2002 concerning "Procedures for Planning Earthquake Resilience for Buildings" (Badan Standardisasi Nasional, 2002), states that there are six earthquake areas which are divided in Indonesia. Based on the image of the Indonesian earthquake areas, Bali is one of the most vulnerable earthquake areas, namely earthquake areas four and five.

Therefore, this research is conducted to minimize the risk of losses due to earthquakes that occur mainly for high rise buildings. The role of construction parties is very important in exploring earthquake planning rules on building structures so that when an earthquake occurs the building does not collapse or only minimal damage to the architecture section (Hamdi, 2016). Then, do the planning of analysis of the structural reinforcement dimensions caused by the transition of building functions in the earthquake area five with different soil classifications (Ghobarah, 2001; McGuire, 2001; Fiedrich et al., 2000). In addition, it is necessary to determine the cost and time of proper and accurate implementation of the building construction being analyzed. So, as to produce a building that is strong, stable, rigid, safe, comfortable, and economical.

2 Materials and Methods

The method used is the analytical method that is an analysis of the strength of the structure of the building based on SNI 03-1726-2002, SNI 03-2847-2002, SNI-1727-2013, and the Indonesian Load Regulations for Buildings in 1983. Buildings are used as the model study was a 3-storey residence on Nuansa Utama Selatan Street number 3, Jimbaran, Bali. The planning of this building will be built on the location of the 5th earthquake area in Bali with the classification of soft and hard soils. The transition of building functions as an office building and shophouses on the 2nd and 3rd floors to function as warehouses. Secondary data collection in the form of soil test data, SNI regulations, shop drawing, material and equipment prices in 2019, labor prices in 2019, and AHSP in 2019. While primary data collection is in the form of time schedule, costs, and technical specifications (Xue et al., 2022; Feng et al., 2010). Analysis of structural modelling using SAP 2000 Version 14 application program with live load in accordance with each type of building in the soft and hard soil classification of the earthquake area 5.

The dimensions of reinforcement resulting from SAP 2000 Version 14 modeling are used as a reference in the preparation of the cost, and the time schedule is obtained using the Microsoft Project program. The variables in this research are the Transition of Building Functions (X1), Earthquake Area (X2), and Soil Classification (X3) as Independent Variables. While Cost (Y1) and Time Schedule (Y2) as Dependent Variables.

IRJEIS ISSN: 2454-2261 □ Suasira, I. W., Intara, I. W., Sutapa, I. K., Santiana, I. M. A., Wibawa, I. G. S., & Yasa, I. M. T. (2022). Structure analysis of building functions transition on the earthquake area five reviewed from costs and time schedule. *International Research Journal of Engineering, IT & Scientific Research*, 8(1), 7-16.

<https://doi.org/10.21744/irjeis.v8n1.2039> 9 3 Results and Discussions Planning structure

data Material type planning data used are as follows: □ Concrete Specific gravity = 2400 kg/m³ Quality of Concrete (f'_c) = 25 MPa Modulus of Elasticity = $4700 \sqrt{f'_c}$ MPa □ Reinforcing Steel Specific gravity = 7850 kg/m³ Modulus of Elasticity = 200000 MPa F_y Deformed Bar = 400 MPa F_y Plain Bar = 240 MPa □ Truss Steel Specific gravity = 7850 kg/m³ Modulus of Elasticity = 200000 MPa F_y Truss Steel = 550 MPa Load Data □

Dead Load Dead load is the burden due to the weight of the structure and additional dead load on structures that are permanent such as columns, beams, and floor plates. While the additional dead load on structures such as masonry walls, ceiling, and hangers, metal tile roof with battens, mortar, ceramics, and MEP. Dead load that works on the building structure are as follows: Reinforced concrete = 2400 kg/m³ A pair bricks of half stone = 250 kg/m² Mortar one cm thick = 21 kg/m² Ceramics floor one cm thick = 24

kg/m² Ceiling and hangers = 18 kg/m² Metal tile roofs + battens = 5 kg/m² MEP = 25

kg/m² □ Live Load Live load is load whose position can change in the structure of a building and not permanent who happens as occupancy or use of a building. The live load given to the floor plates in accordance with the function of each building can be seen in SNI-1727-2013 in table 4.3. While the roof's living load is obtained based on the

Indonesian Load Regulations for Buildings in 1983 in article 3.2. □ Spectrum Response

Earthquake Load Earthquake load is a load that works on building structures caused by the influence of ground movements that occur due to the earthquake. In the earthquake map of Indonesia, Bali is included in the earthquake areas four and five so the reduction factor (R) value by 5 is in accordance with SNI-1726-2012 which can be seen in table 9 in article 7.2.2. In determining the direction of the earthquake impact plan on building structures, the main direction of earthquake load must be considered 100% effective and must be considered to occur simultaneously with the effect of earthquake load the perpendicular direction in the main direction with effectiveness by 30% (SNI 031726-2002 article 5.8.2). The planning of earthquake spectrum response for area 5 based on SNI 03-1726-2002 article 4.7.4. In the graph, C is an earthquake response factor expressed in an acceleration of gravity, while T is the natural vibration time of the building structure expressed in seconds. Soil Data The results of the soil investigation are used to support the analysis of building structure modeling data in the earthquake area 5 with different classification soils using a Cone Penetration Test. The following **7** is the carrying capacity of the land-based **on the results of the** soil investigation.

□ ISSN: 2454-2261 IRJEIS Vol. 8 No. 1, January 2022, pages: 7-16 10 □ Soft

Soil The analysis of soil bearing capacity data obtained through the Cone Penetration Test. The depth of hard soil and average groundwater level at the test location has a depth of 19 meters with a carrying capacity of 40 cm diameter bore pile. The minimum carrying capacity of the bore pile that is the value of the carrying capacity of the bore pile permit at point S3 by 74.84 tons. Table 1 Results of cone penetration test data in S3 area soft soil

Depth of Pile Penetration Df = 19 m	Dia. Pile Ø (cm)	4 x Ø (cm)	qc (kg/cm ²)	Ab (cm ²)	U (cm)	c (kg/cm)	Qsp (ton)
25	100	166.67	490.87	78.54	200	30.41	30
120	166.67	706.86	94.25	200	43.04	35	140
166.67	962.11	109.96	200	57.85	40	160	166.67
1,256.64	125.66	200	74.84	45	180	166.67	1,590.43
141.37	200	94.01	50	200	166.67	1,963.50	157.08
200	115.37	100	400	166.67	7,853.98	314.16	200
448.90							

Source: Soil Testing Data on

Sunset Road, Kuta □ Hard Soil The data analysis of the carrying capacity of the soil

obtained through the Cone Penetration Test is the depth of hard soil from the surface of the Cone Penetration Test. The depth of hard soil from the surface of the Cone Penetration Test has a depth of 1.50 meters with a land permit voltage by 6.25 kg/cm². The foundation used is the foundation of reinforced concrete, where the width of the foundation is calculated based on the number of forces borne by the foundation. Table 2 Results of Cone Penetration Test Data in S1 Area Hard Soil Soft Soil Source: Soil Testing Data on Desa Ungasan, Kuta Selatan Analysis of SAP 2000 Version 14 The results of structural modeling analysis in SAP 2000 Version 14 will be obtained reinforcement area on tie beams, columns, and beams in each building with the classification of soft and hard soils. Detailed requirements for reinforcement based on SNI-03-2847-2002 article 9. □ Tie Beam Reinforcement The minimum longitudinal reinforcement diameter of the tie-beam using a diameter iron of 13 mm and the minimum stirrup reinforcement diameter using a diameter iron of 8 mm. Side reinforcement using a diameter iron of 10 mm considering the height of tie-beam □ 30 cm. Depth (meter) qc (kg/cm²) Carrying Capacity of Soil Permits (□ijin) / Foundation (kg/cm²) For variety of foundation size (B) (□ijin) Without calculating width of foundation (kg/cm²) B = 0.6 m B = 0.8 m B = 1.0 m B = 1.2 m B = 1.4 m B = 1.6 m B = 1.8 m B = 2.0 m B = 2.4 m B = 2.6 m 0.20 98.3 3.28 3.28 3.28 3.28 2.90 2.78 2.68 2.60 2.49 2.45 2.46 0.40 120.0 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 3.54 3.39 3.27 3.17 3.04 2.99 3.00 0.60 120.0 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 3.54 3.39 3.27 3.17 3.04 2.99 3.00 0.80 160.0 5.33 5.33 5.33 5.33 4.72 4.52 4.36 4.23 4.05 3.98 4.00 1.00 160.0 5.33 5.33 5.33 5.33 4.72 4.52 4.36 4.23 4.05 3.98 4.00 1.20 220.0 7.33 7.33 7.33 7.33 6.49 6.21 5.99 5.82 5.57 5.47 5.50 1.40 220.0 7.33 7.33 7.33 7.33 6.49 6.21 5.99 5.82 5.57 5.47 5.50 1.60 250.0 8.33 8.33 8.33 8.33 7.37 7.06 6.81 6.61 6.33 6.22 6.25

IRJEIS ISSN: 2454-2261 □ Suasira, I. W., Intara, I. W., Sutapa, I. K., Santiana, I. M. A., Wibawa, I. G. S., & Yasa, I. M. T. (2022). Structure analysis of building functions transition on the earthquake area five reviewed from costs and time schedule. International Research Journal of Engineering, IT & Scientific Research, 8(1), 7-16.

<https://doi.org/10.21744/irjeis.v8n1.2039> 11 □ Column Reinforcement The minimum longitudinal reinforcement diameter of the column using a diameter iron of 13 mm and the minimum stirrup reinforcement diameter using a diameter iron of 8 mm. □ Beam Reinforcement The minimum longitudinal reinforcement diameter of the beam using a diameter iron of 13 mm and the minimum stirrup reinforcement diameter using a diameter iron of 8 mm. Side reinforcement using a diameter iron of 10 mm considering the height of beam □ 30 cm. Analysis of Floor Plate Planning The diameter of the residential house and office floor plate reinforcement uses a diameter iron of 8 mm, while the diameter of the shop house floor plate reinforcement uses a diameter iron of 10 mm with the classification of soft and hard soils. Analysis of Foundation Planning The foundation on soft soil is planned to use a bore pile foundation with a depth of 19 meters, while the foundation on hard soil is planned to use a footplate foundation. The following are the general provisions of the foundation. Quality of Concrete ($f'c$) = 25 MPa F_y = 400 MPa γ_{soil} = 1600 kg/m³ $\gamma_{reinforced\ concrete}$ = 2400 kg/m³ Concrete cover = 75 mm The minimum longitudinal reinforcement diameter of foundation using a diameter iron of 10 mm, the thickness of the footplate foundation 30 cm, and ⁶ the thickness of the pile cap foundation 50 cm. The carrying capacity of the soil is adjusted to the type of land on which the building will be built. The steps for planning a bore pile foundation are as follows: a) Calculate the carrying capacity of piles pressure permission b) Calculate the piles number c) Determine the poer foundation dimensions d) Calculate ⁸ the efficiency of the pile groups e) Calculate the carrying capacity of pile groups f) Control the total vertical load and poer weight g) Calculate the maximum load ⁶ of piles in the pile groups h) Control the shear stress of the puncher i) Control the moment of foundation j) Poer reinforcement While the steps of footplate foundation planning are as follows: a) Determine the dimensions of the foundation b) Calculate the vertical load which is bestowed foundation to the ground c) Control the tension of the soil under the foundation d) Control of one-way shear stress e) Control of two-way shear stress f) Control the moment of foundation g) Calculate the reinforcement of the foundation Structure reinforcement comparison of each building with the classification

of soft and hard soils can be seen in the following table.

□ ISSN: 2454-2261 IRJEIS Vol. 8 No. 1, January 2022, pages: 7-16 12 Table 3
Comparison of structure reinforcement No Building Functions Soil Classification Structure
Reinforcement (unit) 1 Residential House Soft Soil 287 Hard Soil 227 2 Office Soft Soil
300 Hard Soil 230 3 Shop House Soft Soil 334 Hard Soil 247 Source: Analysis

Result Figure 1. Comparison chart of structure reinforcement Cost Planning After the
analysis of structural modeling, a calculation of the volume of structural 15 work is carried
out to be included in the cost budget plan. In addition to the need for the volume of work, in
preparing the cost, it is also necessary to have unit price in the form of material prices,
labor prices, and equipment prices. The total price obtained for each job by multiplying the
volume of work and the price of the unit of work. In preparing the costs, carefulness and
accuracy are needed to minimize the swelling of prices 8 and the cost of the forgotten
work. So that obtain an effective and efficient cost budget plan. □ Labor Prices Data The

labor price is obtained from Bidang Cipta Karya of Public Works and Spatial Planning of
Badung Regency in 2019. Labor costs are obtained by multiplying the price of each labor
wage and the labor coefficient. The labor coefficient is obtained from the unit price
analysis. Table 4 Comparison of Structure Reinforcement Source: Bidang Cipta
Karya in 2019 287 227 300 230 334 247 0 50 100 150 200 250 300 350 400 Soft Soil
Hard Soil Number of Reinforcement (unit) Soil Classification Comparison of Structure
Reinforcement Residential House Office Shop House No Labor Unit Labor Prices 1 Worker
OH Rp 95.000,00 2 Digger OH Rp 110.000,00 3 Mason OH Rp 110.000,00 4
Carpenter OH Rp 110.000,00 5 Farrier OH Rp 110.000,00 6 Foreman OH Rp
120.000,00 7 Overseer OH Rp 130.000,00

IRJEIS ISSN: 2454-2261 □ Suasira, I. W., Intara, I. W., Sutapa, I. K., Santiana,
I. M. A., Wibawa, I. G. S., & Yasa, I. M. T. (2022). Structure analysis of building functions
transition on the earthquake area five reviewed from costs and time schedule. International

Research Journal of Engineering, IT & Scientific Research, 8(1), 7-16.

<https://doi.org/10.21744/irjeis.v8n1.2039> 13 □ Material and Equipment Prices Data The price of material and equipment is obtained from Bidang Cipta Karya of Public Works and Spatial Planning of Badung Regency in 2019. The price of each material and equipment is multiplied by the coefficient of material and equipment so that obtained the costs of material and equipment. Table 5 Material and equipment prices

No		Name of Material and Equipment		Unit		Material and Equipment Prices	
1	Wood	Wood formwork	m3	Rp	3.900.000,00	Dolken / Seawood Ø 7.5 - 10/400 cm btg	
		Formwork oil	ltr	Rp	12.000,00		
		Plywood 9 mm	lbr	Rp	8.600,00		
2	Stainless Steel Nails	Nails 10 cm	kg	Rp	121.500,00		
3	Ready Mix, Cement & Concrete Water Mix	Concrete K-300	m3	Rp	14.500,00		
		Cement 50 kg	zak	Rp	1.006.950,00	Gresik	
		Concrete Water Mix	ltr	Rp	69.000,00		
4	Sand & Gravel	Concrete Coral 2/3 Ex. 4 per 2.75 M3	m3	Rp	42,00		
		Concrete Sand / cast Ex. 4 per 2.75 M3	m3	Rp	260.000,00		
		Sand	m3	Rp	180.000,00		
		Rebar	kg	Rp	170.000,00		
		Concrete wire	kg	Rp	10.500,00		
		Tremie Pipe and Protective Casing	Ls	Rp	16.000,00		
		Oil + Solar	Ls	Rp	121.000,00		
				Rp	35.850,00		
EQUIPMENT		Crawler Crane	Jam	Rp	987.500,00		
		Drilling Machine	Jam	Rp	50.000,00		
		Equipment		Rp	20.000,00		

Source: Bidang Cipta Karya in 2019 □ Structural Work Volume The volume of work is calculated completely and accurately so that no work is forgotten. The volume of work is calculated based on the reinforcement detail drawing and structure floor plan contained in appendix 4. □ Cost Budget Plan

After obtaining the unit price of work and the volume of structure work, then the comparison of the cost of the structure of each building with the classification of soft and hard soils can be seen in the following table. Table 6 Comparison of Cost Budget Plan

Building Functions	Soil Classification	Cost Budget Plan
Residential House	Soft Soil	Rp 804.801.672,82
Office	Soft Soil	Rp 513.656.825,09
Shop House	Soft Soil	Rp 830.570.658,28
	Hard Soil	Rp 522.887.702,57
	Hard Soil	Rp 950.823.629,37
	Hard Soil	Rp 575.524.380,15

Source: Analysis Result

□ ISSN: 2454-2261 IRJEIS Vol. 8 No. 1, January 2022, pages: 7-16 14 Figure 2. Comparison chart of cost budget plan Time schedule Time schedule of project using the Microsoft Project program. Analyzing the material, equipment, and labor needed in accordance with the volume of work, to produce the time schedule on the work carried out. Followed by predecessor planning so that the work is interconnected with each other. Time schedule comparison of each building with the classification of soft and hard soils can be seen in the following table. Table 7 Comparison of time schedule plan No Building Functions Soil Classification Time Schedule (Week) 1 Residential House Soft Soil 11 Hard Soil 7.9 2 Office Soft Soil 11.5 Hard Soil 8.2 3 Shop House Soft Soil 13.2 Hard Soil 9

Source: Analysis Result Figure 3. Comparison chart of time schedule Rp804,801,673 Rp513,656,825 Rp830,570,658 Rp522.887.702 Rp950,823,629 Rp575,524,380 Rp- Rp200,000,000 Rp400,000,000 Rp600,000,000 Rp800,000,000 Rp1,000,000,000 Soft Soil Hard Soil Value (rupiah) Soil Classification Comparison of Cost Budget Plan Residential House Office Shop House 11 7.9 11.5 8.2 13.2 9 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 Soft Soil Hard Soil Time Schedule (week) Soil Classification Comparison of Time Schedule Residential House Office Shop House

IRJEIS ISSN: 2454-2261 □ Suasira, I. W., Intara, I. W., Sutapa, I. K., Santiana, I. M. A., Wibawa, I. G. S., & Yasa, I. M. T. (2022). Structure analysis of building functions transition on the earthquake area five reviewed from costs and time schedule. International Research Journal of Engineering, IT & Scientific Research, 8(1), 7-16.

<https://doi.org/10.21744/irjeis.v8n1.2039> 15 4 Conclusion 10 Based on the results of the discussion that author, conclusion can be drawn from this study are as follows: a) Based on the results of the analysis of structural modeling using SAP 2000 application with live load in accordance with the transition of building functions in the earthquake area 5 with the classification of soft and hard soils, the structural modeling dimensions of the residential house with classification hard soil obtained the smallest dimensions with the

least structure reinforcement. While the structural modeling dimensions of the shop house with classification soft soil obtained the largest dimensions with the most structure reinforcement. Ratio of structure reinforcement in the transition of structure functions from residential house to office on soft soil by 4.53%, ratio of structure reinforcement in the transition of structure functions from residential house to shop house with 2nd and 3rd floors as warehouse on soft soil by 16.38%, ratio of structure reinforcement in the transition of structure functions from residential house to office on hard soil by 1.32%, and ratio of structure reinforcement in the transition of structure functions from residential house to shop house with 1 2nd and 3rd floors as warehouse on hard soil by 8.81%. b) Comparison of Cost Budget Plan obtained in each structure of transition of building functions with the classification of soft and hard soils, namely ratio of structure cost in the transition of structure functions from residential house to office on soft soil by 3.20%, ratio of structure cost in the transition of structure functions from residential house to shop house with 1 2nd and 3rd floors as warehouse on soft soil by 18.14%, ratio of structure cost in the transition of structure functions from residential house to office on hard soil by 1.80%, ratio of structure cost in the transition of structure functions from residential house to shop house with 2nd and 3rd floors as warehouse on hard soil by 12.04%. So, it can be concluded that the lowest structure cost contained in structure comparison of the transition of functions from residential house to office on hard soil by 1.80%, while the highest structure cost contained in structure comparison of the transition of functions from residential house to shop house with 1 2nd and 3rd floors as warehouse on soft soil by 18.14%. c) Comparison of Time Schedule Plan obtained in each structure of transition of building functions with the classification of soft and hard soils using the Microsoft Project program, namely ratio of structure time schedule in the transition of structure functions from residential house to office on soft soil by 4.90%, ratio of structure time schedule in the transition of structure functions from residential house to shop house with 1 2nd and 3rd floors as warehouse on soft soil by 14.80%, ratio of structure time schedule in the transition of structure functions from residential house to office on hard soil by 3.80%, ratio of

structure time schedule in the transition of structure functions from residential house to shop house with 2nd and 3rd floors as warehouse on hard soil by 10.01%. So, it can be concluded that the most quickly structure time schedule contained in structure comparison of the transition of functions from residential house to office on hard soil by 3.80%, while the longest structure time schedule contained in structure comparison of the transition of functions from residential house to shop house with **1 2nd and 3rd floors** as warehouse on soft soil by 14.80%. Conflict of interest statement The authors declared that they have no competing interests. Statement of authorship The authors have a responsibility for the conception and design of the study. The authors have approved the final article. Acknowledgments We are grateful to two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on the earlier version of this paper.

- ISSN: 2454-2261 IRJEIS Vol. 8 No. 1, January 2022, pages: 7-16 16 References
- Babič, A., & Dolšek, M. (2016). **11 Seismic fragility functions of industrial precast building classes**. *Engineering structures*, 118, 357-370.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2016.03.069> Badan Standarisasi Nasional. (2002). *Procedures for Planning Earthquake Resilience for Buildings*, SNI 03-17262002, Jakarta: Badan Standarisasi Nasional,. Badan Standarisasi Nasional. (2013). *Minimum Loads for Building Design and Other Structures*, SNI 1727:2013, Jakarta: Badan Standarisasi Nasional,. Boisgontier, M. P., & Cheval, B. (2016). The anova to mixed model transition. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 68, 1004-1005.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.05.034> Doniyor, P., & Khabibulla, P. (2021). Theoretical research of mechanics of yarns in assembly winding machines. *International Research Journal of Engineering, IT & Scientific Research*, 7(5), 193-202.
<https://doi.org/10.21744/irjeis.v7n5.1928> Feng, C. W., Chen, Y. J., & Huang, J. R. (2010). Using the MD CAD model to develop the time –cost integrated schedule for construction projects. *Automation in Construction*, 19(3), 347-356.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2009.12.009> Fiedrich, F., Gehbauer, F., & Rickers, U.

(2000). Optimized resource allocation for emergency response after earthquake disasters. *Safety science*, 35(1-3), 41-57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-7535\(00\)00021-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-7535(00)00021-7)

Ghobarah, A. (2001). **9 Performance-based design in earthquake engineering: state of development.** *Engineering structures*, 23(8), 878-884. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0296\(01\)00036-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-0296(01)00036-0)

Gupta, M., & Khan, M. A. (2021). Advances in applications of non-destructive testing (NDT): a review. *International Research Journal of Engineering, IT & Scientific Research*, 7(3), 76-86. <https://doi.org/10.21744/irjeis.v7n3.1003>

Hamdi, F. (2016). Analisis **4 dan Evaluasi Kekuatan Struktur Atas Gedung Fakultas Ekonomi dan Manajemen IPB Terhadap Faktor Gempa Berdasarkan SNI 1727: 2013.** Holscher, K., Wittmayer, J. M., & Loorbach, D. (2018). Transition versus transformation: What's the difference?. **16 Environmental innovation and societal transitions**, 27, 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2017.10.007>

McGuire, R. K. (2001). Deterministic vs. probabilistic earthquake hazards and risks. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 21(5), 377-384. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0267-7261\(01\)00019-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0267-7261(01)00019-7)

2 Oral, G. K., Yener, A. K., & Bayazit, N. T. (2004). Building envelope design with the objective to ensure thermal, visual and acoustic comfort conditions. *Building and Environment*, 39(3), 281-287. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1323\(03\)00141-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1323(03)00141-0)

Todorovska, M. I., & Trifunac, M. D. (2008). **3 Earthquake damage detection in the Imperial County Services Building III: analysis of wave travel times via impulse response functions.** **17 Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering**, 28(5), 387-404. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2007.07.001>

Xue, X., Ai, X., Fang, J., Yao, W., & Wen, J. (2022). **5 Real-time schedule of integrated heat and power system: A multi-dimensional stochastic approximate dynamic programming approach.** *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 134, 107427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2021.107427>

Sources

1	https://www.archdaily.com/946111/casas-de-uso-mixto-incorporando-lo-comercial-cultural-o-industrial-en-la-vivienda INTERNET 1%
2	https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/2222/1/012005 INTERNET 1%
3	https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/stc.208 INTERNET <1%
4	https://repository.ipb.ac.id/handle/123456789/86980 INTERNET <1%
5	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4054-5916 INTERNET <1%
6	https://www.thestructuralworld.com/2018/07/20/pile-cap-design/ INTERNET <1%
7	https://www.ijrrjournal.com/IJRR_Vol.8_Issue.3_March2021/IJRR060.pdf INTERNET <1%
8	https://theconstructor.org/geotechnical/pile-load-capacity-calculation-single-group-pile/6172/ INTERNET <1%
9	https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-57434-5_21 INTERNET <1%
10	https://plos.org/resource/how-to-write-conclusions/ INTERNET <1%
11	https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0141029616301109 INTERNET <1%
12	https://www.ijert.org/ INTERNET <1%
13	https://journal.ipb.ac.id/index.php/ijbe/issue/view/2999 INTERNET <1%
14	https://global.ctbuh.org/resources/papers/download/1342-space-efficiency-in-multi-use-tall-building.pdf INTERNET <1%

15

<https://theengineeringedu.com/pile-foundation-construction-process/>
INTERNET
<1%

16

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/environmental-innovation-and-societal-transitions/special-issues>
INTERNET
<1%

17

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/soil-dynamics-and-earthquake-engineering/vol/139/suppl/C>
INTERNET
<1%

EXCLUDE CUSTOM MATCHES ON

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF

EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY OFF